Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/016.642 GOLAN ET AL. Examiner
All Participants: Status of Application: (1) Thai D. Hoang. (2) Samuel H. Dworetsky-Applicant's Representative. Date of Interview: 25 August 2005 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Part II.
All Participants: (1) Thai D. Hoang. (2) Samuel H. Dworetsky-Applicant's Representative. (4) Date of Interview: 25 August 2005 Time: 10:30 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Applicant Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed:
(1) Thai D. Hoang. (2) Samuel H. Dworetsky-Applicant's Representative. (4) Date of Interview: 25 August 2005 Time: 10:30 Type of Interview:
(2) Samuel H. Dworetsky-Applicant's Representative. (4) Date of Interview: 25 August 2005 Time: 10:30 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed:
Date of Interview: 25 August 2005 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed:
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed:
☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed: Part II.
Claims discussed: 1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed: Part II.
1 and 23 Prior art documents discussed: Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner requested Applicant's Representaive combined limitations recited in claim 6 and 28 into claims 1 and 23 respectively in order to allow Patent Application. Applicant's Representative egreed and authorized to make Examiner Amendment for allowance
Part III.
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.
,
I had men a
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)